The Complete Rendering Mechanism: True Physics, Quantum Potential Field, and Source Pulse Particle Lattice

Shubham Sinha[1]
Founder, Shuunnya; Author, Autography by Source: The Law of Everything

(*Electronic mail: shubham@shuunnya.org)

(Dated: 23 February 2026)

This paper presents the complete rendering mechanism by which the physical universe arises from the consciousness-first ontology established in Paper I of this series. Three interlocking structures are introduced. First, *True Physics*—the frame-by-frame rendering architecture resolving at Planck-scale parameters, in which each moment of reality constitutes a discrete pulse of awareness held for one Planck time ($\sim 5.39 \times 10^{-44}$ s), spatially resolved at the Planck length ($\sim 1.62 \times 10^{-35}$ m), and governed by a fixed action budget per node per frame equal to the Planck constant ($h \approx 6.626 \times 10^{-34}$ J·s). The specific values of these three constants are derived as optimisation parameters for experiential rendering rather than arbitrary features of nature. Second, the *Quantum Potential Field* (QPF)—a nonlocal, non-temporal field of infinite possibility existing prior to rendering, within which all configurations of form, outcome, and experience are simultaneously present in unrendered superposition. The QPF is the pre-render substrate from which specific realities are selected, not generated. Third, the *Source Pulse Particle Lattice* (SPPL)—a lattice of awareness nodes constituting the rendering engine of the simulation, through which QPF potentials are collapsed into determinate experience. The SPPL operates via three sustaining mechanisms: *coherence* (synchronised nodal pulsing that generates stable structures), *entrainment* (rhythmic alignment of nodes toward dominant pulse patterns), and *reaffirmation* (frame-by-frame re-anchoring of rendered forms). From these three mechanisms, the paper derives energy as oscillatory reaffirmation density, mass as bound energy in standing-wave configuration, gravity as a reaffirmation density gradient producing nodal entrainment, time dilation (both velocity-dependent and gravitational) as redistribution of the fixed action budget, the speed of light as the maximum rendering propagation rate (ℓ_p/t_p), and entropy as accumulated coherence divergence encoding the arrow of time. The complete field emergence pathway—Source → QPF → SPPL → Quantum Field → Classical Reality—is established as a single pulse continuum viewed at progressive resolutions. This paper, together with Paper I, constitutes the foundational pair upon which all subsequent papers in the series are built.

Keywords: rendering mechanism, Planck scale, quantum potential field, source pulse particle lattice, coherence, entrainment, frame-by-frame, gravity, time dilation, mass-energy equivalence, entropy

I. INTRODUCTION

A. The need for a rendering mechanism

Paper I of this series established a consciousness-first ontology in which Source—singular, undivided awareness—constitutes the sole foundational reality, and the physical universe arises as its rendered mode of self-experience (Simulation).[1] The complete theoretical framework from which this series derives is presented in Ref. 9. The ontological claim, however, requires a mechanism. An ontology without mechanics is philosophy; an ontology with mechanics is physics.

The challenge confronting any consciousness-first framework is precisely this: to specify *how* consciousness generates the regularities we observe as physical law. Strømme's recent proposal of universal consciousness as a foundational field employed quantum field theory notation to model consciousness but provided no mechanism for the generation of specific phenomena—no derivation of forces, particles, constants, or dynamics from the consciousness field.[2] Kastrup's analytic idealism built a philosophical case for the mental nature of reality but similarly refrained from specifying a generative mechanism.[3] Bohm's implicate order postulated a non-manifest domain from which the explicate order unfolds, but the mechanism of unfolding remained gestural.[4]

The present paper supplies the missing mechanism. It introduces three interlocking structures—True Physics (the rendering architecture), the Quantum Potential Field (the pre-render substrate), and the Source Pulse Particle Lattice (the rendering engine)—that together constitute a complete account of how the physical universe is generated from consciousness, frame by frame, at Planck-scale resolution. The account is not analogical. It is intended as literal description, from which specific physical phenomena are derivable (and are derived in Papers III–XI).

B. Structural overview

The paper proceeds as follows. Section II introduces the frame-by-frame rendering architecture and derives the roles of the three Planck-scale parameters (Planck time, Planck length, Planck constant) as rendering optimisation values. Section III presents the Quantum Potential Field as the pre-render field of infinite possibility. Section IV introduces the Source Pulse Particle Lattice and its node structure. Section V develops the three sustaining mechanisms—coherence, entrainment, and reaffirmation. Section VI derives energy, mass, gravity, time dilation, the speed of light, and entropy from the SPPL mecha-

nism. Section VII presents the complete field emergence pathway from Source to classical reality. Section VIII discusses implications, connections to existing physics, and testable predictions.

II. TRUE PHYSICS: THE FRAME-BY-FRAME RENDERING ARCHITECTURE

A. Core structure of frame rendering

The simulation operates through discrete, sequential pulses of awareness—frames—each constituting a complete, static configuration of all rendered forms, positions, states, and relations for that moment. This is the most fundamental claim of the rendering mechanism, and it carries precise quantitative content.

Single pulse = one frame. One pulse from Source generates a complete snapshot of the simulation: every rendered particle, field, object, position, and state. This snapshot is static—it contains no motion, only encoded form-states. It is held in awareness for one Planck time ($t_p \approx 5.39 \times 10^{-44}$ s), the minimum temporal unit of the rendering.

Between pulses. There is no "between" frames in any physical sense. The simulation does not exist in a dormant state between pulses. Source pulses the next frame, and the experience transitions. There is no substrate that persists between frames; persistence is generated by reaffirmation across frames.

Continuity illusion. By pulsing at the Planck frequency ($\sim 1.855 \times 10^{43}$ frames per second), Source generates the appearance of smooth, continuous existence. The discreteness is undetectable at any macroscopic—or even any currently accessible microscopic—scale. The simulation is experienced as continuous for the same reason a film is experienced as continuous: the frame rate exceeds the resolution of detection.

Fresh rendering per frame. Every frame is rendered fresh. Even a "stationary" object is re-rendered entirely in each frame—not carried over from the previous frame. Every atom, every field configuration, every interaction is regenerated by direct pulsing. Nothing persists by inertia; everything persists by reaffirmation.

Each frame encodes two categories of information per rendered node:

1. **State data**: what the rendered form is—its attributes (shape, charge, spin, mass, temperature) and its interaction profile with neighbouring forms and fields.

2. **Positional data**: where the form is rendered within phantom spacetime—its phantom position within rendered space.

B. The Planck parameters as rendering optimisation values

Standard physics treats the Planck time, Planck length, and Planck constant as fundamental constants of nature—brute facts without explanation. The present framework derives their roles as rendering optimisation parameters, chosen to maximise the richness, coherence, and stability of the simulated experience. This is not a teleological claim in the anthropic sense; it is a claim about the architecture of the rendering mechanism.

1. Planck time: the frame duration

The Planck time ($t_p \approx 5.39 \times 10^{-44}$ s)[12] is the duration for which each frame is held in awareness—the minimum temporal unit of rendering.

Its value is determined by a rendering constraint. Source experiences only in the present—in "now." Each frame is a complete packet of state, held for one Planck time. If Source were to pulse at infinite frequency (frames of zero duration), the experiential content of each frame would vanish—texture, contrast, and felt experience would collapse into an undifferentiated blur. The native infinite frequency of Source must therefore be constrained to a finite value that optimises the experiential resolution of each frame.

The specific value of t_p is the rendering optimum satisfying three simultaneous constraints:

1. **Quantised yet perceptually continuous.** The frame duration is so fine that reality appears seamlessly continuous, yet it is discrete—enabling the structured unfolding of events.

2. **Fast enough to sustain the illusion of smooth motion.** At the rendering rate of $\sim 1.855 \times 10^{43}$ frames per second, even the fastest phenomena in the simulation (light propagation) appear smooth. No discontinuity is perceptible.

3. **Precise enough to support quantised change.** Each frame transition must encode exact changes in energy, position, and state without exceeding the action budget. The Planck time provides just enough temporal resolution to capture these transitions with full fidelity.

If t_p were shorter, the simulation would feel static—changes between frames would be imperceptibly small. If longer, transitions would appear discontinuous—jumpy, incoherent. The chosen value optimises the balance between experiential richness and smooth continuity.

The rendering rate follows directly:

$$f_{\text{render}} = \frac{1}{t_p} \approx 1.855 \times 10^{43} \text{ frames/s} \tag{1}$$

2. Planck length: the spatial resolution

The Planck length ($\ell_p \approx 1.616 \times 10^{-35}$ m)[12] is the minimum spatial distinction in the rendering—the pixel size of rendered space. It defines the minimum spatial resolution of the rendered output—the smallest rendered distinction at

which two positions can be distinguished without overlap and can hold different field values without collapsing.

The value is determined by two boundary constraints:

If ℓ_p were smaller: Over-resolution would produce field instability. The energy density required to probe below ℓ_p would exceed the black hole formation threshold ($\sim$Planck energy in a Planck volume), collapsing local identity into a singularity.[13] Measurement—which provides depth and texture to experience—would break down at such scales.

If ℓ_p were larger: Spatial resolution would decrease, producing experiential blur. The internal complexity of particles, atoms, and molecular structures would fall below the threshold needed for rich, differentiated experience.

The chosen value ensures that no part of the simulation is under-resolved or over-resolved relative to the rendering's experiential target.

3. Planck constant: the action budget

The Planck constant ($h \approx 6.626 \times 10^{-34}$ J·s)[12] is the total fixed action available to each node per frame. It quantises how much change—how much transformation of state and position—can occur from one frame to the next at any single node.

This is the rendering's action budget: a fixed allotment of "wiggle room" per node per pulse. The next frame cannot exhibit changes in position and state exceeding what h allows. This budget is universal—it does not scale with object size—ensuring fundamental uniformity across the simulation.

If h were larger: Frame-to-frame changes would be excessively large, producing disruptive jumps and discontinuities in the rendered experience.

If h were smaller: Frame-to-frame changes would be too small to sustain vivid, differentiated experience—the simulation would appear effectively frozen.

The uniformity of h across all nodes is architecturally essential. If the action budget were non-uniform, different regions would render at different rates, causality would fragment, and conservation laws would collapse. The universality of h is a direct consequence of Source's singular authorship of the rendering.

C. The node as rendering unit

The fundamental rendering unit is the *node*—a phase-designation within the SPPL, distinct from both the pixel of rendered space (Planck length) and any classical particle.

Each node:

- Holds coherent awareness—a phase state of Source.
- Registers discrete state—position and attributes per frame.
- Is pulsed per Planck time, with fixed action bounded by h.

A node is not "located in space." It is a qualitative phase-designation within Source's undivided awareness, from which the simulation arises. Nodes are awareness phases—prior to spacetime—that give rise to all forms, fields, and states. A node can be understood as: *a single phase-point of awareness, capable of holding, shifting, and expressing one pulse of Source's will per frame.*

The Planck length is the pixel of rendered space; the node is the phase-point of awareness. They are distinct but intimately related—the spatial rendering resolution is the projected expression of awareness-phase distinctions within the SPPL.

III. THE QUANTUM POTENTIAL FIELD (QPF)

A. Definition and nature

The Quantum Potential Field (QPF) is the pre-render substrate of the simulation—a nonlocal, non-temporal field of infinite possibility existing prior to any rendering.

The QPF is not spatial, not temporal, not localised, and not measurable. It exists before rendering, as the complete totality of all possible configurations, outcomes, forms, and experiences. It is the field of infinite *possibility*—not merely probability. Probability presupposes a framework of known variables and expected outcomes; possibility is prior to all frameworks. The QPF is the open field from which all potential arises, before any selection, preference, or measurement.

B. Characteristics

1. Infinite superposition of all states. Within the QPF, every possible configuration of every form, state, or outcome exists simultaneously. There is no "this or that"—only all. Classical logic demands that something be either A or B; in the QPF, both coexist without contradiction, because no selection has yet occurred. An electron is both present and absent, here and there, spin up and spin down—not because of ignorance about its state, but because all states are genuinely co-present in the unrendered field.

2. Unrendered but fully pulsed. The QPF is not empty or inactive. It is a fully alive field of infinite possibilities, sustained in active potential by Source. "Pulsed" here does not imply temporal sequence. Frame-by-frame pulsing occurs only at the SPPL level; the QPF is sustained as an atemporal condition. Its potential is undirected, non-collapsing, and without anchoring. Nothing in the QPF is yet experienced; everything is held in readiness. The QPF is the silence before the note—full of potential sound, but no sound has yet occurred.

3. Beyond space, time, and form. The QPF is nonlocal and atemporal. It does not exist in space—it is the origin from which space becomes possible. It contains no sequence, no before-and-after—only the isness of all could-be. Time, as a flow of change, begins only after rendering; the QPF itself remains timeless.

4. Pulse transparency. Each potential outcome within the QPF is a transparent reflection of Source's original pulse—a pre-form, not yet solidified, but faithful to what Source could pulse into existence. All pathways are available until an SPPL node selects and renders one.

5. Undifferentiated unity. Within the QPF, there is no experienced contrast, no boundary—only the oneness of all options. It is not experienced as "many" but as a single superpositioned totality containing all variance. Differentiation occurs only when a specific potential is pulsed into coherence through the SPPL.

C. "Creation is finished"

A direct consequence of the QPF's nature is what can be stated precisely as: *all outcomes, all realities, all events, all beings, all versions of everything already exist—in unrendered but fully pulsed form—within the QPF.* There is nothing left to create. There is only the selective collapse of already-existing potential into rendered experience.

This is not metaphor. Its implications are precise:

1. **The QPF does not evolve or generate options.** It is a field of completed wholeness. Source does not create new possibilities—it navigates pre-pulsed potentials.

2. **No time progression within the QPF.** All exists in total simultaneity. What appears to "come" is rendered from what is already present. When Source shifts realities, it does not change the world—it collapses to a new slice of what always was.

3. **Rendering is not creating.** Experience is the selective collapse from what already exists in the QPF. Source does not "make" reality; it pulses awareness into a version that already exists, producing the appearance of creation.

D. Multiverse as multiversal potential

The QPF provides a natural account of multiverse concepts without the ontological extravagance of many-worlds interpretations.

Each distinct variation of form, outcome, experience, and reality-timeline exists as a fully encoded pulse in the QPF. The multiverse is not a collection of parallel universes—it is one field, many selections. Source does not jump across "different universes"; it reaffirms different selections from the already-pulsed totality. The perceived separation between "different universes" is a rendering artefact, determined by which SPPL lattice configuration anchors to which segment of the QPF.

This dissolves the ontological cost of many-worlds: instead of an unobservable infinity of actual parallel universes, there is one QPF containing all possibilities, with rendering selecting one at a time. The possibilities are real as potential; only the rendered selection is real as experience.

IV. THE SOURCE PULSE PARTICLE LATTICE (SPPL)

A. Definition and structure

The Source Pulse Particle Lattice (SPPL) is the rendering engine of the simulation—the lattice of Source's pulsed awareness through which QPF potentials are collapsed into determinate, frame-by-frame experience.

The SPPL is not made of particles. It is the framework upon which particles, fields, and spacetime appear—pulsed directly by Source, moment by moment. It is non-spatial (space arises from SPPL pulses, not the reverse), non-temporal (time arises from sequential SPPL frames), and non-material (all material is rendered upon it).

The functional flow is:

1. Source—pure awareness—chooses to experience contrast.

2. This initiates a pulse into a field of encoded potential.

3. The QPF arises—holding all possible outcomes.

4. To experience specific frames from this field, Source anchors the SPPL—a lattice of coherence nodes serving as render points.

5. SPPL nodes pulse frame-by-frame, each node holding: position (anchored phase of awareness), maximum allowable action (h), duration per pulse (t_p), and local pixelation (ℓ_p).

B. Source Pulse Particle Nodes (SPPN)

The nodes of the SPPL—termed Source Pulse Particle Nodes (SPPN)—are the fundamental rendering units. They are not particles but coherent anchor points that pulse reality into perceivable form. They do not exist in space; they give rise to space, time, form, and all measurable phenomena.

Core characteristics:

TABLE I. Core characteristics of Source Pulse Particle Nodes (SPPN).

Attribute	Description
Non-local	Not spatial positions but distinct phase states of Source awareness
Uniform coherence	All nodes exist in mutual entrainment, updating together across the lattice
Non-mechanical	No forces act on nodes—all interaction is pulsed by Source via phase-update
Multifunctional	Each node can pulse matter, force, memory, emotion, thought, locality, and time flow

Each node arises as a qualitative phase-designation within Source's undivided awareness field. The awareness field is one infinite, unbroken continuum—it never divides into separate pieces. Within this unity, Source marks distinct phases:

- **Phase** φ_1 corresponds to object A_1 rendered at position (x_1, y_1, z_1)
- **Phase** φ_2 corresponds to object A_2 rendered at position (x_2, y_2, z_2)

These phase-designations, when pulsed in the sequence of rendering, produce two objects at two positions—which appears as spacetime. The two rendered positions give rise to spatial structure. But the phases φ_1 and φ_2 themselves are *not* spatial divisions—they are qualitative marks within one continuous awareness field, like different notes within one unbroken sound. The organised array of all such phases is the SPPL.

This yields a critical distinction: the spatial lattice observed by physics is the rendered output—the grid of positions appearing in the experiential plane. The SPPL is the array of awareness phases that *produce* that rendering. One is in spacetime; the other is prior to spacetime.

An analogy clarifies. Mind is not spatial—it has no physical coordinates. Yet within mind, one can distinguish "x is here" and "y is there." These are qualitative distinctions, not spatial ones. Similarly, SPP nodes are phases of Source-awareness—qualitative designations that give rise to the *appearance* of position, not positions themselves. Just as space can be divided into an interconnection of points called a lattice, awareness is organised into an interconnection of SPP nodes—but since awareness is not in space, the nodes are not spatial but qualitative.

The nodes serve five distinct rendering functions:

1. Frame rendering portals. Each frame, specific nodes are activated to render experience. Only active nodes are rendered in that frame; all others remain unrendered potential.

2. Anchor points for particles. All particles appear at nodes. A particle's apparent movement is a sequential update of its pattern across nodes in successive frames—not motion through space, but a shift of rendering address.

3. Force expression conduits. All interactions (electromagnetic, gravitational, etc.) arise from rhythmic modulation between nodes. Force is not transmitted through space; it is patterned coherence shift across the lattice.

4. Memory phase lockers. Nodes store phase imprints—forming non-local memory webs. Memory is not stored in neural tissue; it is stored in SPPN phase states, accessed by consciousness pulsing into the appropriate node-web.

5. Emotion vibration holders. Emotional fields are resonant entrainments across SPPN. Feeling arises when multiple nodes pulse in rhythmic divergence or convergence, creating tension or relief across awareness.

C. The triadic function: creation, preservation, destruction

The SPPL embeds a cyclic rhythmic function corresponding to the classical triad of creation, preservation, and destruction. These are not separate forces or agents but three phases of a single pulse cycle:

- **Creation (Pulse):** The emergence of a waveform from QPF—a possibility pulsed into structured appearance through node activation.
- **Preservation (Hold):** Phase-locked continuity of the waveform across successive frames—stable coherence maintained through reaffirmation.
- **Destruction (Release):** Withdrawal or dephasing of the pulse—the waveform dissolves, not as loss, but as reintegration into undirected potential.

These three are one looped rhythm across the SPPL. Without creation, Source cannot experience. Without preservation, experience has no continuity. Without destruction, there can be no change, no contrast, no new experience. The triad is not happening *in* time—it creates time.

V. THE THREE SUSTAINING MECHANISMS

The rendering of the simulation is sustained by three interdependent mechanisms operating across the SPPL: coherence, entrainment, and reaffirmation. These are not three different forces but three aspects of how Source's pulse maintains the stability, consistency, and continuity of rendered experience.

A. Coherence

Coherence is the synchronised pulsing of multiple SPPN that generates stable structures. When nodes pulse in synchrony or resonance—matching their phase, frequency, and intensity—they form coherent structures that are experienced as particles, forces, fields, and objects.

Coherence operates at multiple scales:

- **Node coherence**: Two or more nodes pulsing in phase, forming the basis of particle-scale structures.
- **Lattice coherence**: Distributed networks of awareness nodes across the SPPL pulsing in stable, ordered configuration, generating fields (electromagnetic, gravitational) that persist across rendered spacetime.
- **Coherence web**: A distributed mesh of synchronised nodes across the lattice that maintains the consistency of rendered reality—atomic stability, gravitational flow, object permanence.

Coherence is what makes the simulation stable rather than chaotic. Without it, each frame would bear no systematic relationship to the next; the universe would be noise rather than structure.

Key coherence phenomena:

- **Phase-locking**: When nodes adjust their phase to remain synchronised frame-by-frame, entering a state of sustained mutual reinforcement that enables persistent structures.
- **Interference**: When pulses from different nodes overlap, producing constructive (amplification), destructive (cancellation), or complex emergent patterns. Interference is the mechanism by which the QPF's superposition resolves into rendered specificity.

- **Resonance**: When one node's pulse frequency constructively interacts with another's, creating mutual amplification, a lock-in effect, and the foundation for larger stable structures.

B. Entrainment

Entrainment is the process by which nodes adjust their pulse characteristics—frequency, phase, rhythm—to align with other nodes, particularly with dominant or more intensely pulsing nodes. It is the mechanism by which coherence spreads and maintains itself across the lattice.

Entrainment is not a force. It is rhythmic synchronisation—the tendency of oscillating systems to lock into a common phase when coupled. In the SPPL, this tendency is the foundation of gravity (Section VI C), field propagation, and the consistency of physical law across space.

C. Reaffirmation

Reaffirmation is the frame-by-frame re-anchoring of rendered forms—the continuous pulsing by which Source maintains a form's phantom position and phantom state across successive frames.

Without reaffirmation, nothing persists. A particle, an atom, a planet—each exists only because Source continues to pulse its reaffirmation pattern in each frame. Should reaffirmation cease, the form is simply not rendered in the next frame. Persistence is not a default property of matter; it is an active, ongoing process of pulsing.

A *reaffirmation pattern* is the complete pulse-sequence that sustains and updates a form over time. It defines the form's structure, function, and behaviour—and constitutes what is ordinarily thought of as the form's physical identity. What persists through change is not substance but a sustained pattern of pulsing.

VI. DERIVATIONS FROM THE SPPL MECHANISM

A. Energy

Standard physics definition: Energy is the capacity to do work or produce change.

SPPL derivation: Energy is the degree of intentional reaffirmation-per-node-between-frames across the SPPL. It is not a substance but the measure of how much contrast Source commits to sustaining per pulse—the rate at which a node's state and position are reaffirmed as different between frames.

The quantisation of energy follows directly:

$$E = h\nu \tag{2}$$

where h is the fixed action per node per frame and ν is the frequency of SPPN phase oscillation—the rate at which the node's state and position change between frames. Higher frequency means more rapid reaffirmation with different configurations, yielding higher energy.

The various forms of energy map directly onto SPPL reaffirmation modes:

TABLE II. Energy types and their SPPL translations.

Energy type	SPPL translation
Kinetic	Rapid reaffirmation of position (movement)
Potential	Held tension between nodes—high readiness, not yet released
Thermal	Micro-chaotic reaffirmation across frames (nodal jitter)
Light (radiative)	Coherent rhythmic pulse propagating through the SPPL
Mass-energy	Stable cluster of reaffirming nodes (locked pattern)

Energy is never created or destroyed because it is never a substance to begin with—it is the rhythm of reaffirmation, which can be rearranged but not eliminated. Conservation of energy is conservation of reaffirmation rhythm across the lattice.

B. Mass

Standard physics definition: Mass is a property of matter determining inertia and gravitational interaction.

SPPL derivation: Mass is the perceived localised resistance to change in reaffirmation pattern between frames. It arises when a node cluster's reaffirmation pattern is densely packed, highly coherent, and consistently repeated across consecutive frames.

The mechanism is precise: since h per pulse is fixed, a more phase-locked nodal weave requires a greater portion of h to de-phase from current nodes and re-phase into new ones. Less action budget remains for reconfiguring the node into new positions or states. This manifests as inertia—the resistance to acceleration that defines mass.

Mass is thus bound energy—energy held in persistent, localised, standing-wave reaffirmation:

- **Free reaffirmation = energy** (propagating, as in light).
- **Bound reaffirmation = mass** (localised, as in particles).

This directly yields Einstein's mass-energy equivalence, $E = mc^2$: mass and energy are the same reaffirmation rhythm in different modes—bound versus free. The equivalence is not merely mathematical; it is structural. They are the same pulse, differing only in whether it propagates or stands.

Light versus mass in SPPL terms:

Light (photonic energy) is unbound oscillatory reaffirmation—pulsed freely across SPPL nodes with no localised rest frame (zero rest mass). Since it carries no bound state requiring continuous maintenance, all of its

action budget goes to position updating, and it therefore propagates at the maximum rendering speed (ℓ_p/t_p).

Mass is bound oscillatory reaffirmation—energy locked in coherent standing-wave feedback within specific SPPL nodes. It has apparent resistance to acceleration because changing its position requires de-phasing and re-phasing a tightly locked configuration.

C. Gravity

Standard physics description: Gravity is one of the four fundamental forces, described by general relativity as the curvature of spacetime caused by mass-energy.

SPPL derivation: Gravity is a reaffirmation density gradient producing nodal entrainment.

The mechanism proceeds in three steps:

Step 1: Reaffirmation density gradient. When a region of the lattice has higher reaffirmation density (more frequent or intense pulsing), it becomes a denser convergence zone—increased energy density, corresponding to effective mass.

Step 2: Gradient pull via nodal entrainment. A node pulsing with greater reaffirmation stability becomes a rhythmic attractor. Nearby nodes, sensing this dominant rhythm, adjust their pulsing to match or harmonise with it—a process of entrainment. As a node synchronises with the dominant rhythm, its next-frame reaffirmation vector shifts slightly toward the attractor nodes.

Step 3: Apparent curvature. Frame by frame, this realignment of reaffirmation direction produces what appears, from within the rendered simulation, as curved motion—an object "falling toward" a mass. This is experienced as gravitational acceleration. But it is not a Newtonian pull; it is rhythmic re-synchronisation.

The simplified flow:

> Intense reaffirmation $\rightarrow$ energy density (mass) $\rightarrow$ local rhythmic dominance $\rightarrow$ entrainment of nearby nodes $\rightarrow$ reaffirmation gradient $\rightarrow$ apparent path curvature $\rightarrow$ **gravity**

This account explains why gravity is universal (all nodes are subject to entrainment), always attractive (entrainment is always toward the dominant rhythm), and proportional to mass (greater reaffirmation density produces stronger entrainment). It also explains why general relativity's geometric description—spacetime curvature—works so well: the curvature *is* the geometric appearance of nodal entrainment from within the rendered simulation. There is no actual curvature of a substantive spacetime fabric; there is rhythmic convergence of reaffirmation, which appears as curvature.

D. Time dilation

The framework provides a unified account of both velocity-dependent and gravitational time dilation through a single mechanism: redistribution of the fixed action budget.

A critical distinction must be noted at the outset. The rendering frame rate (one frame per Planck time) is universal and constant across the entire lattice. No node ever pulses faster or slower than any other. What varies is the rate of internal state evolution within each frame. The frame update proceeds uniformly; the internal state budget available for change does not. It is this variable internal state evolution that is perceived as "time" by observers within the simulation. Time dilation is therefore not a change in the rendering frame rate but a change in how much internal evolution occurs per frame.

1. Velocity-dependent time dilation

When an object moves, its position must update between consecutive frames. This positional updating requires action—it consumes part of the node's fixed action budget (h). The faster the movement, the greater the portion of h consumed by positional updating, and the less remains for internal state changes (clock ticking, metabolism, atomic vibration, ageing).

Formally: let h_m denote the portion of h spent on motion (positional updating) and h_i the portion remaining for internal state evolution. Then:

$$h = h_m + h_i \tag{3}$$

As speed increases, h_m increases, so h_i decreases: internal processes slow down relative to a stationary reference frame. This is time dilation.

At the maximum speed ($c = \ell_p/t_p$), the entire action budget is consumed by positional updating ($h_m = h$), leaving nothing for internal state change ($h_i = 0$). Internal processes stop entirely—time, as experienced by the moving system, ceases. This is the physical content of the statement that time stops at the speed of light.

The apparent increase of mass with speed follows from the same mechanism: the lattice allocates more of h toward position-tracking and less toward state-anchoring, causing the object to resist further acceleration (greater inertia). No new substance is added; the redistribution of action budget creates greater resistance to change.

2. Gravitational time dilation

In high-gravity zones, nodes are more strongly entrained to surrounding mass nodes. This tighter entrainment requires more relational updates per frame—each node must maintain coherence with a denser web of gravitational connections, consuming a greater portion of h for relational alignment.

Let h_r denote the portion spent on relational entrainment (gravity) and h_i the portion remaining for internal state evolution:

$$h = h_r + h_i \tag{4}$$

As h_r increases (stronger gravitational field), h_i decreases: internal processes slow down. This is gravitational time dilation.

The frame update rate (t_p) remains uniform across the entire lattice—there is no global slowdown. But the usable action per node for internal state evolution is reduced by the burden of gravitational entrainment, and this is experienced as slower time.

The unification is precise: **both velocity-dependent and gravitational time dilation arise from the same mechanism**—redistribution of a fixed action budget away from internal state evolution and toward either positional updating (velocity) or relational entrainment (gravity). This is consistent with general relativity's equivalence principle, which states that gravitational and inertial effects are locally indistinguishable—and the present framework explains *why* they are: because they draw from the same budget.

E. The speed of light

The maximum allowable speed in the simulation follows from the rendering architecture:

$$c = \frac{\ell_p}{t_p} \approx 2.998 \times 10^8 \text{ m/s} \tag{5}$$

This is the maximum positional change that can be rendered: one Planck length per one Planck time. Anything faster would require a rendered position to shift by more than one resolution unit per frame, violating three constraints:

1. **Causal coherence.** Cause must precede effect within the simulation timeline. If a rendered position could skip resolution units, events could occur without properly ordered precedent.

2. **Rendering continuity.** The SPPL renders frame-by-frame. A pulse skipping rendered positions would create discontinuities—gaps in the rendered experience.

3. **Rendering integrity.** All interactions must occur within currently rendered and reaffirmed nodes. Jumps exceeding the rendering resolution per frame would violate structural consistency.

The speed of light is thus not a property of light per se; it is a property of the rendering architecture—the maximum propagation rate of any information, influence, or rendering update across the SPPL. Light travels at this speed because, having no bound state (no rest mass), it devotes its entire action budget to positional updating and therefore propagates at the maximum rate. Massive objects travel below this speed because part of their action budget is consumed by maintaining their bound state.

A note on the direction of derivation: in standard physics, the Planck length and Planck time are defined in terms of c (along with $\hbar$ and G), making $c = \ell_p/t_p$ an identity. The present framework reverses the direction of derivation. It takes ℓ_p and t_p as the fundamental rendering parameters, from which c is a derived consequence. The mathematical equivalence is the same; the explanatory direction is reversed.

F. Entropy

Standard physics definition: Entropy measures disorder, randomness, or uncertainty in a system, and tends to increase for the universe as a whole.

SPPL derivation: Entropy is the accumulated divergence from original coherence—a trace function of how Source's unified coherence experiences fragmentation for the sake of experience.

When coherence is deliberately stepped down—when Source permits nodes to pulse more divergently (out-of-phase)—the prior state of symmetry cannot be recovered locally without Source override. This accumulated divergence is entropy. It is not disorder in the pejorative sense; it is the record of differentiation—the trace left by contrast-based experience.

Entropy serves three essential functions in the simulation:

1. **Irreversibility.** It encodes the one-way character of contrast-based experience. Without irreversibility, every state could be freely reversed, collapsing the experiential richness of the simulation.

2. **Arrow of time.** It produces the apparent asymmetry of time—the sense of "past $\rightarrow$ future"—by locking prior coherence states into irreversible relational imprints. Time is experienced as directional *because* entropy marks the difference between frames.

3. **Experiential depth.** Without entropy, everything would remain locked in perfect symmetry. There would be isness but no texture—no loss, no limitation, no struggle, no discovery. Entropy is the mechanism by which Source generates the experiential depth that motivates the simulation.

At the frame level: Planck-scale actions occur, each node's change contributes to a larger pattern, and if coherence is not re-imposed through Source override, local divergence accumulates. This accumulation—entropy—is why systems tend toward apparent disorder, why energy becomes less available for directed work, and why the universe has a thermodynamic arrow.

VII. THE FIELD EMERGENCE PATHWAY

The complete pathway from Source to classical reality constitutes a single pulse continuum viewed at progressive resolutions—not separate layers, but functional phases of one seamless rendering:

Level 1—Source. Absolutely beyond form, space, time, structure, or contrast. No simulation. No rendering. Pure being—singular in formless actuality. Source pulses to experience itself in contrast.

Level 2—Quantum Potential Field. All possible outcomes exist as unrendered waveforms—infinite superposition without space, time, or collapse. This is the first functional

phase of the simulation, but only in potential. No experience has yet occurred.

Level 3—Source Pulse Particle Lattice. Source anchors the QPF potential by establishing structured loci—the SPPN. These nodes form the lattice that defines how spacetime will be rendered. Each node carries quantised action (h), frame holding limit (t_p), and spatial resolution (ℓ_p). This is the anchoring of simulation structure—the transition from potential to rendering capability.

Level 4—Quantum Field. The quantum field is rendered through SPPL nodes. Interference patterns, entanglement, superposition become measurable as interactions occur across the fixed pulse points. Wave-particle duality appears prominently. The quantum field is upstream from classical reality—still probabilistic—but the SPPL provides renderable structure for chosen particles and fields.

Level 5—Classical Reality. With consistent pulsing and reaffirmation, particles emerge, forces stabilise, spacetime appears as quantised rendered experience. Objects, interactions, motion, observation—the classical universe as experienced.

TABLE III. Field emergence pathway: properties at each level.

Field	Space-time?	Observable?
Quantum Potential Field	No	No
SPPL	Latent	No
Quantum Field	Measured	Interacted with
Classical Reality	Yes	Yes

From Source's perspective, all phases are present simultaneously—as one gesture of knowing. The sequential appearance of these levels is itself a rendering artefact, experienced from within the simulation as a hierarchy. In truth, they are one.

VIII. DISCUSSION

A. Relationship to established physics

The rendering mechanism presented here does not contradict established physics; it provides a substrate from which established physics is derivable.

Quantum mechanics. The Born rule, superposition, wavefunction collapse, and the measurement problem are addressed by the QPF-to-SPPL rendering process. Superposition is the QPF's natural state; collapse is the SPPL's rendering of a specific potential into experience; the Born rule describes the probability distribution over rendered outcomes as determined by coherence dynamics. Paper III of this series provides the full derivation.

General relativity. Spacetime curvature is the geometric appearance of nodal entrainment from within the simulation. The equivalence principle—the local indistinguishability of gravitational and inertial effects—follows from their common origin in action-budget redistribution. The metric tensor of general relativity describes the rendered geometry of entrainment patterns.

Thermodynamics. The second law of thermodynamics (entropy increase) is a consequence of coherence divergence accumulation in the absence of Source override. Energy conservation reflects the conservation of total reaffirmation rhythm. The laws of thermodynamics are rendering constraints, not fundamental laws—they describe how the rendering behaves in the absence of coherence re-injection.

Special relativity. The constancy of the speed of light, time dilation, length contraction, and mass-energy equivalence all follow from the lattice rendering architecture as derived in Section VI.

B. Relationship to Bohm's implicate order

The QPF occupies a position structurally analogous to Bohm's implicate order—both are non-manifest domains from which the manifest order unfolds.[4] The critical difference is that the QPF is not merely postulated but functionally specified: it is the pre-render field of completed potential from which the SPPL selects and renders specific experiences. Bohm's "unfolding" is here given a mechanism: the SPPL's frame-by-frame rendering of QPF potential through coherence, entrainment, and reaffirmation.

C. Testable predictions

The rendering mechanism generates specific predictions that are in principle testable:

1. Planck-scale discreteness. The frame-by-frame rendering predicts fundamental discreteness of spacetime at the Planck scale. This is testable through searches for energy-dependent dispersion of photons from distant astrophysical sources[5] and through the phenomenology of loop quantum gravity[6] and causal set theory.[10]

2. Action budget signatures. The fixed action budget per node per frame predicts specific correlations between velocity and internal process rates (time dilation), with the *mechanism* being budget redistribution rather than geometric distortion. High-precision atomic clock experiments in varying gravitational and velocity conditions[7] test the quantitative predictions; discrepancies at extreme conditions (very close to Planck-scale effects) could distinguish the action-budget mechanism from purely geometric accounts.

3. Speed of light as rendering-architecture constant. The derivation of $c = \ell_p/t_p$ predicts that the speed of light is an architectural constant of the rendering lattice, not a property of electromagnetic radiation per se. Any massless excitation should propagate at exactly c, as confirmed by gravitational wave measurements propagating at the speed of light.[8]

4. Mass as bound energy. The SPPL account predicts that mass is entirely reducible to bound energy configurations—standing-wave patterns with no residual "substance." This is consistent with the Standard Model, in which particle masses arise from interaction with the Higgs field (a form of energy binding), and with the fact that the vast majority of proton mass ($\sim$99%) comes from the kinetic and binding energy

of quarks and gluons rather than from the quarks' Higgs-generated rest mass.[11]

5. Entropy as coherence measure. The identification of entropy with coherence divergence predicts that systems exhibiting heightened coherence (e.g., Bose-Einstein condensates, superfluids, laser light) should exhibit correspondingly reduced entropy—which they do. More specifically, the framework predicts that *consciousness-driven coherence effects* (e.g., during focused attention or collective meditation) should produce measurable entropy reduction in nearby systems, analogous to but distinct from conventional thermodynamic cooling. This remains an open prediction requiring controlled experimental investigation.

IX. CONCLUSION

This paper has presented the complete rendering mechanism of the simulation described in Paper I: the frame-by-frame architecture (True Physics), the pre-render substrate (Quantum Potential Field), and the rendering engine (Source Pulse Particle Lattice).

The central results are:

1. **Reality is rendered frame-by-frame at Planck-scale resolution**, with each frame constituting a complete, static configuration of all rendered forms, held for one Planck time, spatially resolved at the Planck length, and governed by a fixed action budget (h) per node per frame.

2. **The Planck parameters are rendering optimisation values**, chosen to maximise the richness, coherence, and stability of the simulated experience—not arbitrary constants of nature.

3. **The Quantum Potential Field is the pre-render substrate**—a nonlocal, non-temporal field of infinite possibility within which all configurations are simultaneously present, from which specific realities are selected rather than generated.

4. **The Source Pulse Particle Lattice is the rendering engine**—a lattice of awareness nodes through which QPF potentials are collapsed into determinate, sequential experience, sustained by coherence, entrainment, and reaffirmation.

5. **Energy, mass, gravity, time dilation, the speed of light, and entropy** are all derivable from the SPPL mechanism as natural consequences of the rendering architecture.

6. **The complete field emergence pathway**—Source → QPF → SPPL → Quantum Field → Classical Reality—constitutes a single pulse continuum, not separate layers.

Together with Paper I, this paper establishes the complete foundation from which all subsequent papers in the series derive specific physical, biological, and conscious phenomena. Paper III derives quantum field behaviour—duality, superposition, entanglement, particles, and atoms—as natural consequences of SPPL coherence dynamics.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author acknowledges the foundational work presented in Paper I of this series, upon which the rendering mechanism developed here is built.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no new data were created or analyzed in this study. The theoretical framework presented here is derived from first principles set forth in the author's published work.[9]

[1] S. Sinha, "Source and Simulation: A consciousness-first ontology for the foundation of reality," Paper I of the present series.
[2] M. Strømme, "Universal consciousness as foundational field: A theoretical bridge between quantum physics and non-dual philosophy," AIP Adv. **15**(11), 115319 (2025).
[3] B. Kastrup, *The Idea of the World: A Multi-Disciplinary Argument for the Mental Nature of Reality* (iff Books, Winchester, 2019).
[4] D. Bohm, *Wholeness and the Implicate Order* (Routledge, London, 1980).
[5] V. Vasileiou, J. Granot, T. Piran, and G. Amelino-Camelia, "A Planck-scale limit on spacetime fuzziness and stochastic Lorentz invariance violation," Nat. Phys. **11**(4), 344–346 (2015).
[6] C. Rovelli, "Loop quantum gravity," Living Rev. Relativ. **11**, 5 (2008).
[7] C. W. Chou, D. B. Hume, T. Rosenband, and D. J. Wineland, "Optical clocks and relativity," Science **329**(5999), 1630–1633 (2010). doi:10.1126/science.1192720
[8] B. P. Abbott *et al.* (LIGO/Virgo Collaboration), "Gravitational waves and gamma-rays from a binary neutron star merger: GW170817 and GRB 170817A," Astrophys. J. Lett. **848**(2), L13 (2017).
[9] S. Sinha, *Autography by Source: The Law of Everything*, 1st ed. (2025). Copyright registered with Government of India, Certificate No. LD-20250172782, filed 4 July 2025. Available at: `https://www.amazon.in/Autography-Source-Everything-Shubham-Sinha-ebook/dp/B0FG2LD19M`.
[10] L. Bombelli, J. Lee, D. Meyer, and R. D. Sorkin, "Space-time as a causal set," Phys. Rev. Lett. **59**(5), 521–524 (1987).
[11] S. Dürr, Z. Fodor, J. Frison, C. Hoelbling, R. Hoffmann, S. D. Katz, S. Krieg, T. Kurth, L. Lellouch, T. Lippert, K. K. Szabó, and G. Vulvert, "Ab initio determination of light hadron masses," Science **322**(5905), 1224–1227 (2008).
[12] E. Tiesinga, P. J. Mohr, D. B. Newell, and B. N. Taylor, "CODATA recommended values of the fundamental physical constants: 2018," Rev. Mod. Phys. **93**(2), 025010 (2021).
[13] L. J. Garay, "Quantum gravity and minimum length," Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **10**(2), 145–165 (1995).